



## Board of Directors Meeting

June 11, 2009

Finance Committee Notes (May 26, 2009)

Item IV.L.

## Finance Committee Meeting Notes

May 26, 2009 @ 3:30 p.m.  
Room 181

**Committee Members Present:** Honorable Ken Welch, County Commissioner, Chair; Honorable Bernie McCabe; Honorable Bob Dillinger

**JWB Staff Present:** Gay Lancaster, Executive Director; Browning Spence, Deputy Director; Lisa Sahulka, Director of Contract Management, Finance, Research & Resource Center; Sue Walterick, Director of Finance; Lynn De la Torre, Senior Accountant

### Review of Taxable Valuation Projection

- Flash projections came in slightly higher than projected
- 11.1% at this point in time, which includes the new construction piece
- May change in July, but not by much

### Proposed Budget 2009-2010

#### Budget Resolution Scenarios

#### Fast Facts

Program/Strategic Plan area	Current Fiscal Year				Projected Economic Stimulus/revenues	Cost per child	Projected served 2008	Projected Served 2009
	Now	Reduction 2008	Reduction/ Increase 2009	2009 Proposed				
----- In Millions -----								
<b>SCHOOL READINESS</b>								
CHILD CARE	9.7	-1	-2.5	6.2	2.3	\$ 4,000	8,491 slots	7,985 slots
CHILD CARE PLACEMENT-SPECIAL NEEDS CHILDREN	0.204	0	-0.204	0	None	\$ 1,943	105	-
<b>SCHOOL SUCCESS</b>								
JUVENILE OUTPATIENT PROGRAM	0.156	0	-0.156	0	None	\$ 529	295	-
<b>CHILD MALTREATMENT</b>								
HEALTHY FAMILIES	5.7	0	0.187	5.9	Medicaid	Recommendation will prevent staff and service reductions		
<b>SYSTEM SUPPORTS</b>								
COUNSELING	4.8	-2	0.0	3	Medicaid	\$ 1,125	4,267	2,667
OUT-OF-SCHOOL TIME	6.5	0	-0.200	6.3	10-12 yr old are eligible under \$2.3m above	\$ 5,110	1,272	1,233

- Developed based on 13% reduction. Staff would like to present this framework to the full Board.
- Budget reduced by \$11m over three years.
- Any extra dollars would be set aside to enhance programs in needed areas (e.g. early school release on Wednesdays next school year for Middle and High School) utilize as match fund for grants.
- 2-1-1: \$100,000 challenge grant a possibility; need to find them an administrative home (PAR currently has a call center); HHSCC could manage; many options; challenge would match in \$10,000 increments of new dollars; board divergence on funding
- Would like to look at ways to mitigate Child Care Placement for Special Needs Children; ask CCC to pick up
- Consider adding dollars back into Counseling if more dollars are available through Medicaid – (\$Half million to \$One Million)
- Suggestion: was not to earmark extra revenue; money would go into a Community Priorities Fund
- Does the Board want to put dollars aside to match grants? Decision point
- Program Stabilization Fund - \$11m
- Community Priority Fund - \$5m, possibly
- Fund Balance – Lisa will get information per Commissioner’s Welch’s request.

**ACTION: Committee approved proposed budget for 2009-10; staff were directed to share all possible scenarios with the Board at the Budget Workshop.**

### **Brief Review of Budget 2010-11 and 2011-12 Projections:**

- 2010-11: Ad Valorem \$44m; Program Budget \$45m; Fund Balance \$6m; Anticipation of increase in interest income, sale of 66<sup>th</sup> Street building or increase in millage rate
- 2011-12: Ad Valorem \$45m; Program Budget \$45m; Fund Balance \$4m; Anticipation of increase in interest income, sale of 66<sup>th</sup> Street building, or increase in millage rate; will need more money coming in the door by 2012 or programs or will have to be cut

### **Status of 66<sup>th</sup> Street Building**

- Few nibbles; still on the market @ \$3.5M to \$4m
- Eckerd Community Alternatives isn’t interested; not large enough to accommodate Sheriff’s Office CPI Operation and protective services

### **PCMS**

- What is the right thing to do? That should guide decisions.
- How can we assure we are responding to the Board’s concerns if it is not brought back into JWB?
- In a year, is PCMS going to be a shell organization or viable?
- Does JWB maintain contract management?
- Who will support the infrastructure that is needed to support community programs?
- ASO’s doesn’t provide accountability and contract management; need a hybrid MSO
- In-house accountability is the preference; would require additional JWB staff (increase Administration Cost Cap)
- PCMS could explore back office support; hire two people to do accountability
- Suggestion: ask cities to take on the NFC’s; Mr. Dillinger was concerned they wouldn’t maintain the same types of programs.
- Mr. McCabe said he needed to think about the approach he would like to take.

**ACTION: Gay requested to leave PCMS as is until the update at the June Board meeting; Committee agreed.**

## **Other Items Identified by Committee Members**

--Mr. Dillinger asked for confirmation that there will be no merit, cost of living or cola increases for staff; Lisa confirmed

--How many children were served in 2005-2010? Staff will respond

**Meeting Adjourned @ 5:35 p.m.**

Notes Respectfully Submitted by

Penny DeLacquesaux, Executive Assistant

6/5/09